US Army Corp of Engineers®	Flood Damage Red Inspec	luction Segment / System ction Report	
Name of Segment /	System: Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks		
Public Sponsor(s):	Souris River Joint Water Resources Board		
Public Sponsor Rep	presentative: Kevin Ploof		
Sponsor Phone:	701-837-8737		
Sponsor Email:	Kevin.Ploof@ackerman-estvold.com		
Corps of Engineers	Inspector: Luke Schmidt and Joe Hemmer	Inspection Start Date:	10/29/2019
		Inspection End Date:	10/29/2019
Inspection Report I	Prepared By: Luke Schmidt	Date Report Prepared:	1/7/2020
Internal Technical	Review (for Periodic Inspections) By: Eric Wittine	Date of ITR:	
Final Approved By	:	Date Approved:	
Type of Inspection:	 Initial Eligibility Inspection Continuing Eligibility Inspection (Routine) Continuing Eligibility Inspection (Periodic) 	Overall Segment / System Rating: Acceptable Minimally Accepta	able
Contents of Report:	 Instructions Initial Eligibility Inspection General Items for All Flood Control Works Levee Embankment Concrete Floodwalls Sheet Pile and Concrete I-walls Interior Drainage System Pump Stations FDR System Channels 	Note: In addition to the report contents indicated here, a plan system, with stationing, should be included with this report to items rated less than acceptable. Photos of general system cor deficiencies should also be attached. Note: This inspection rating represents the Corps evaluation of maintenance of the flood damage reduction system and may be other information for a levee certification determination for Na Program (NFIP) purposes if applicable. An Acceptable Corps does not equate to a certifiable levee for the NFIP. It is recom currently accredited by the Federal Emergency Management A purposes receiving a Corps Minimally Acceptable or Unaccep by the levee owner to determine the notential impacts to the certification the set of th	view drawing of the reference locations of idition and any noted f operations and e used in conjunction with ational Flood Insurance inspection rating, alone, mended for levee systems Agency (FEMA) for NFIP otable rating, be evaluated ertification for FEMA



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Public Sponsor Pre-Inspection Form

The following information is to be provided by the levee district sponsor prior to an inspection. This information will be used to help evaluate the organizational capability of the levee district to manage the levee segment / system maintenance program.



Public Sponsor Pre-Inspection Report

The following information is to be provided by the levee district sponsor prior to an inspection

Name	Position	Mailing Address	Phone Number	Email Address
David Ashley	Chair – Souris River	P.O. Box 1516; Minot, ND 58702	701-626-1566	dwashley56@gmail.com
	Joint Water Resource			
	Board			
Tom Klein	Chair - Ward County	P.O. Box 5005; Minot, ND 58702	701-720-8508	thokle@srt.com
	Water Resource Board			
Ryan Ackerman	Administrator - Souris	1907 17th Street SE; Minot, ND 58701	701-837-8737	ryan.ackerman@ackerman-estvold.com
	River Joint Water			
	Resource Board			
Dennis Reep	Engineer - Ward	3231 Greensboro Drive, Suite 200; Bismarck, ND 58503	701-557-9621	dennis.reep@hdrinc.com
-	County Water			
	Resource Board			

8. Levee district organization: (elected or appointed levee district officials and key employees)



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Pre-Inspection Form Page 2 of 2

General Instructions for the Inspection of Flood Damage Reduction Segments / Systems

A. Purpose of USACE Inspections:

The primary purpose of these inspections is to prevent loss of life and catastrophic damages; preserve the value of Federal investments, and to encourage non-Federal sponsors to bear responsibility for their own protection. Inspections should assure that Flood Damage Reduction structures and facilities are continually maintained and operated as necessary to obtain the maximum benefits. Inspections are also conducted to determine eligibility for Rehabilitation Assistance under authority of PL 84-99 for Federal and non-Federal systems. (ER 1130-2-530, ER 500-1-1)

B. Types of Inspections:

The Corps conducts several types of inspections of Flood Damage Reduction systems, as outlined below:

Initial Fligibility Increations	Continuing Eligibility Inspections			
initial Englority inspections	Routine Inspections	Periodic Inspections		
IEIs are conducted to determine whether a non- Federally constructed Flood Damage Reduction system meets the minimum criteria and standards set forth by the Corps for initial inclusion into the Rehabilitation and Inspection Program.	RIs are intended to verify proper maintenance, owner preparedness, and component operation.	PIs are intended to verify proper maintenance and component operation and to evaluate operational adequacy, structural stability, and safety of the system. Periodic Inspections evaluate the system's original design criteria vs. current design criteria to determine potential performance impacts, evaluate the current conditions, and compare the design loads and design analysis used against current design standards. This is to be done to identify components and features for the sponsor that need to be monitored more closely over time or corrected as needed. (Periodic Inspections are used as the basis of risk assessments.)		

C. Inspection Boundaries:

Inspections should be conducted so as to rate each Flood Damage Reduction "Segment" of the system. The overall system rating will be the lowest segment rating in the system.

Project	System	Segment
A flood damage reduction project is made up of one	A flood damage reduction system is made up of one or more flood damage	A flood damage reduction segment is defined as a discrete
or more flood damage reduction systems which were	reduction segments which collectively provide flood damage reduction to a	portion of a flood damage reduction system that is operated and
under the same authorization.	defined area. Failure of one segment within a system constitutes failure of the	maintained by a single entity. A flood damage reduction
	entire system. Failure of one system does not affect another system.	segment can be made up of one or more features (levee,
		floodwall, pump stations, etc).

D. Land Use Definitions:

The following three definitions are intended for use in determining minimum required inspection intervals and initial requirements for inclusion into the Rehabilitation and Inspection Program. Inspections should be considered for all systems that would result in significant environmental or economic impact upon failure regardless of specific land use.

Agricultural	Rural	Urban
Protected population in the range of zero to 5	Protected population in the range	Greater than 20 households per square mile; major industrial areas with significant infrastructure investment.
households per square mile protected.	of 6 to 20 households per square	Some protected urban areas have no permanent population but may be industrial areas with high value
	mile protected.	infrastructure with no overnight population.



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks (BMBA) General Instructions Page 1 of 3

E. Use of the Inspection Report Template:

The report template is intended for use in all Army Corps of Engineers inspections of levee and floodwall systems and flood damage reduction channels. The section of the template labeled "Initial Eligibility" only needs to be completed during Initial Eligibility Inspections of Non-Federally constructed Flood Damage Reduction Systems. The section labeled "General Items" needs to be completed with every inspection, along with all other sections that correspond to features in the system. The section labeled "Public Sponsor Pre-Inspection Report" is intended for completion before the inspection, if possible.

F. Individual Item / Component Ratings:

Assessment of individual components rated during the inspection should be based on the criteria provided in the inspection report template, though inspectors may incorporate additional items into the report based on the characteristics of the system. The assessment of individual components should be based on the following definitions.

Acceptable Item	Minimally Acceptable Item	Unacceptable Item
The inspected item is in satisfactory condition, with no deficiencies, and will function as intended during the next flood event.	The inspected item has one or more minor deficiencies that need to be corrected. The minor deficiency or deficiencies will not seriously impair the functioning of the item as intended during the next flood event.	The inspected item has one or more serious deficiencies that need to be corrected. The serious deficiency or deficiencies will seriously impair the functioning of the item as intended during the next flood event.

G. Overall Segment / System Ratings:

Determination of the overall system rating is based on the definitions below. Note that an Unacceptable System Rating may be either based on an engineering determination that concluded that noted deficiencies would prevent the system from functioning as intended during the next flood event, or based on the sponsor's demonstrated lack of commitment or inability to correct serious deficiencies in a timely manner.

Acceptable System	Minimally Acceptable System	Unacceptable System
All items or components are rated as Acceptable.	One or more items are rated as Minimally Acceptable or one or more items are rated as Unacceptable and an engineering determination concludes that the Unacceptable items would not prevent the segment / system from performing as intended during the next flood event.	One or more items are rated as Unacceptable and would prevent the segment / system from performing as intended, or a serious deficiency noted in past inspections (which had previously resulted in a minimally acceptable system rating) has not been corrected within the established timeframe, not to exceed two years.

H. Eligibility for PL84-99 Rehabilitation Assistance:

Inspected systems that are not operated and maintained by the Federal government may be Active in the Corps' Rehabilitation and Inspection Program (RIP) and eligible for rehabilitation assistance from the Corps as defined below:

If the Overall System Rating is Acceptable	If the Overall System Rating is Minimally Acceptable	If the Overall System Rating is Unacceptable
The system is active in the RIP and eligible for PL84-99 rehabilitation assistance.	The system is Active in the RIP during the time that it takes to make needed corrections. Active systems are eligible for rehabilitation assistance. However, if the sponsor does not present USACE with proof that serious deficiencies (which had previously resulted in a minimally acceptable system rating) were corrected within the established timeframe, then the system will become leactive in the RIP.	The system is Inactive in the RIP, and the status will remain Inactive until the sponsor presents USACE with proof that all items rated Unacceptable have been corrected. Inactive systems are ineligible for rehabilitation assistance.



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks (BMBA) General Instructions Page 2 of 3

I. Reporting:

After the inspection, the Corps is responsible for assembling an inspection report (or a summary report if it was a Periodic Inspection) including the following information:

- a. All sections of the report template used during the inspection, including the cover and pre-inspection materials. (Supplemental data collected, and any sections of the template that weren't used during the inspection do not need to be included with the report.)
- b. Photos of the general system condition and noted deficiencies.
- c. A plan view drawing of the system, with stationing, to reference locations of items rated less than acceptable.
- d. The relative importance of the identified maintenance issues should be specified in the transmittal letter.
- e. If the Overall System Rating is Minimally Acceptable, the report needs to establish a timeframe for correction of serious deficiencies noted (not to exceed two years) and indicate that if these items are not corrected within the required timeframe, the system will be rated as Unacceptable and made Inactive in the Rehabilitation Inspection Program.

J. Notification:

Reports are to be disseminated as follows within 30 days of the inspection date.

If the Overall System Rating is Acceptable	If the Overall System Rating is Minimally Acceptable	If the Overall System Rating is Unacceptable
Reports need to be provided to the local sponsor and the county emergency management agency.	Reports need to be provided to the local sponsor, state emergency management agency, county emergency management agency, and to the FEMA region.	Reports need to be provided to the local sponsor, state emergency management agency, county emergency management agency, FEMA region, and to the Congressional delegation within 30 days of the inspection.



General Items for All Flood Damage Reduction Segments / Systems

	Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations	
1.	Operations and Maintenance Manuals	Α	Α	Levee Owner's Manual, O&M Manuals, and/or manufacturer's operating instructions are present.		
			М	Sponsor manuals are lost or missing or out of date; however, sponsor will obtain manuals prior to next scheduled inspection.		
			U	Sponsor has not obtained lost or missing manuals identified during previous inspection.		
2.	Emergency Supplies and Equipment	A	A	The sponsor maintains a stockpile of sandbags, shovels, and other flood fight supplies which will adequately supply all needs for the initial days of a flood fight. Sponsor determines required quantity of supplies after consulting with inspector.		
	(A or M only)	(A or M only)	or M only)	М	The sponsor does not maintain an adequate supply of flood fighting materials as part of their preparedness activities.	
3.	Flood Preparedness and Training (A or M only)	Α	A	Sponsor has a written system-specific flood response plan and a solid understanding of how to operate, maintain, and staff the FDR system during a flood. Sponsor maintains a list of emergency contact information for appropriate personnel and other emergency response agencies.		
			М	The sponsor maintains a good working knowledge of flood response activities, but documentation of system-specific emergency procedures and emergency contact personnel is insufficient or out of date.		

For use during all inspections of all Flood Damage Reduction Segments / Systems

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks General Items for All Flood Damage Reduction Segments / Systems Page 1 of 1

US Army Corps of Engineers®

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations
1. Unwanted Vegetation Growth ¹	U	A M U	The levee has little or no unwanted vegetation (trees, bush, or undesirable weeds), except for vegetation that is properly contained and/or situated on overbuilt sections, such that the mandatory 3-foot root-free zone is preserved around the levee profile. The levee has been recently mowed. The vegetation-free zone extends 15 feet from both the landside and riverside toes of the levee to the centerline of the tree. If the levee access easement doesn't extend to the described limits, then the vegetation-free zone must be maintained to the easement limits. Reference EM 1110-2-301 or Corps policy for regional vegetation variance. Minimal vegetation growth (brush, weeds, or trees 2 inches in diameter or smaller) is present within the zones described above. This vegetation must be removed but does not currently threaten the operation or integrity of the levee. Significant vegetation growth (brush, weeds, or any trees greater than 2 inches in diameter) is present within the zones described above and must to be removed to reestablish or ascertain levee integrity.	BMBA_2019_a_0023: Station_1 9+26: Station_2 15+70: Trees greater than 2 inches in diameter, brush, long grass, and weeds on the landside levee slope and appears to be within the vegetation free zone: Remove unwanted vegetation from vegetation-free zone, up to the levee easement; Remove root ball, backfill, compact in lifts, and re-sod; Ensure environmental compliance with all appropriate agencies prior to removal (U) BMBA_2019_a_0046: Station_1 32+75: Station_2 36+00: Trees greater than 2 inches in diameter, brush, long grass, and weeds on the levee slopes and within the vegetation free zone: Remove unwanted vegetation from vegetation-free zone up to the levee easement. Remove root ball, backfill, compact in lifts, and reseed with grass. Ensure environmental compliance with all appropriate agencies prior to removal (U) BMBA_2019_a_0051: Station_1 18+00: Station_2 18+50: Trees (greater than 2 inches in diameter) at the landside toe of the levee embankment and appears to be within the vegetation free zone: Remove unwanted vegetation from vegetation-free zone up to the levee easement. Remove root ball, backfill, compact in lifts, and reseed with grass. Ensure environmental compliance with all appropriate agencies prior to removal (U) BMBA_2019_a_0054: Station_1 -2+40: Station_2 6+50: Trees (greater than 2 inches in diameter), brush, long grass, and weeds on both sides of the levee embankment slopes and appears to be within the vegetation free zone: Remove unwanted vegetation from vegetation-free zone up to the levee easement. Remove root ball, backfill, compact in lifts, and reseed with grass. Ensure environmental compliance with all appropriate agencies prior to removal (U)
2. Sod Cover	U	Α	There is good coverage of sod over the levee.	BMBA_2019_a_0011: Station_1 22+10: Station_2 28+60:
		Μ	Approximately 25% of the sod cover is missing or damaged over a significant portion or over significant portions of the levee embankment. This may be the result of over-grazing or feeding on the levee, unauthorized vehicular traffic, chemical or insect problems, or burning during inappropriate seasons.	a result of overspraying herbicide: Reestablish sod cover (U)
		U	Over 50% of the sod cover is missing or damaged over a significant portion or portions of the levee embankment.	
		N/A	Surface protection is provided by other means.	



For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems

Rated Item	Rating	Rating Rating Guidelines		Location/Remarks/Recommendations		
3. Encroachments	U	A	No trash, debris, unauthorized farming activity, structures, excavations, or other obstructions present within the easement area. Encroachments have been previously reviewed by the Corps, and it was determined that they do not diminish proper functioning of the levee.	BMBA_2019_a_0001: Station_1 01+60: Power Pole on levee easment: Verify levee easement. Relocate encroachments outside of levee easement (U)		
		М	Trash, debris, unauthorized farming activity, structures, excavations, or other obstructions present, or inappropriate activities noted that should be corrected but will not inhibit operations and maintenance or emergency operations. Encroachments have not been reviewed by the Corps.	BMBA_2019_a_0002: Station_1 45+00: Tree, home, and storage shed at landward toe of levee: Verify levee easement. Relocate encroachments outside of levee easement, unless approved by Corps (U) BMBA_2019_a_0009: Station_1 34+50: Levee access ramp		
		U	Unauthorized encroachments or inappropriate activities noted are likely to inhibit operations and maintenance, emergency operations, or negatively impact the integrity of the levee.	blocked by abandoned mobile home, power pole, and other debris: Verify levee easement. Relocate encroachments outside of levee easement (U) BMBA_2019_a_0019: Station_1 17+54: Power pole located at the landside toe of the levee embankment and appears to be within vegetation free zone: Verify levee easement; Relocate power pole outside of levee easement, unless approved by the Corps (U) BMBA_2019_a_0040: Station_1 1+00: Power pole located on the riverside slope of the levee embankment: Verify levee easement; Relocate encroachment outside of levee easement, unless approved by Corps (U) BMBA_2019_a_0048: Station_1 16+00: Station_2 42+00: Multiple irrigation lines are located through the levee embankment: Remove irrigation lines from the levee easement unless approval from the Corps (U)		
 Closure Structures (Stop Log, Earthen Closures, Gates, or Sandbag 	U	A	Closure structure in good repair. Placing equipment, stoplogs, and other materials are readily available at all times. Components are clearly marked and installation instructions/ procedures readily available. Trial erections have been accomplished in accordance with the O&M Manual.	BMBA_2019_a_0055: Station_1 NA: Station_2 -2+80: Discontinuous levee section across roadway. A closure would be required to utilize protection offered by the discontinuous levee section. Point retained for future		
Closures) (A or U only)		U	Any of the following issues is cause for this rating: Closure structure in poor condition. Parts missing or corroded. Placing equipment may not be available within the anticipated warning time. The storage vaults cannot be opened during the time of inspection. Components of closure are not clearly marked and installation instructions/ procedures are not readily available. Trial erections have not been accomplished in accordance with the O&M Manual.	inspection purposes: Evaluate level of protection and determine when closures need to be installed (U)		
		N/A	There are no closure structures along this component of the FDR segment / system.			
5. Slope Stability	Μ	Α	No slides, sloughs, tension cracking, slope depressions, or bulges are present.	BMBA_2019_a_0049: Station_1 19+00: Station_2 28+00:		
		Μ	Minor slope stability problems that do not pose an immediate threat to the levee embankment.	multiple depressions from excavated for tree removal:		
		U	Major slope stability problems (ex. deep seated sliding) identified that must be repaired to reestablish the integrity of the levee embankment.	Remedial actions required to restore levee slope per plan. Further evaluation is required. Solutions must be approved by Corps. Restore levee cross-section per plan (M)		

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Levee Embankments Page 2 of 19

For use d	luring]	Initial a	nd Contin	uing Fl	igibility	Inspections	of levee seam	ents / systems
ror use u	iui ing i	initial a	nu Contin	unig E	ingining	inspections	of levee segn	ients / systems

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations	
6. Erosion/ Bank Caving	U	Α	No erosion or bank caving is observed on the landward or riverward sides of the levee that might endanger its stability.	BMBA_2019_a_0024: Station_1 13+75: 3 ft deep hole on landward slope next to tree base: Remove tree and root ball,	
		М	There are areas where minor erosion is occurring or has occurred on or near the levee embankment, but levee integrity is not threatened.	backfill erosion to the design grade, compact in lifts, and reseed grass. Reevaluate drainage and erosion protection (U) BMBA 2019 a 0028 Station 1.06+50 Decreasions on	
		U	Erosion or caving is occurring or has occurred that threatens the stability and integrity of the levee. The erosion or caving has progressed into the levee section or into the extended footprint of the levee foundation and has compromised the levee foundation stability.	riverside levee slope (> 6 inches deep) caused by pumping activity: Backfill ruts/depression to the design grade, compact in lifts, and reseed with grass (U) BMBA_2019_a_0039: Station_1 01+80: Significant erosion on landward side of levee due to pumping activity: Backfill erosion to the design grade, compact in lifts, and reseed with grass (U)	
7. Settlement ²	Α	A	No observed depressions in crown. Records exist and indicate no unexplained historical changes.		
		М	Minor irregularities that do not threaten integrity of levee. Records are incomplete or inclusive.		
		U	Obvious variations in elevation over significant reaches. No records exist or records indicate that design elevation is compromised.		
8. Depressions/ Rutting	U	U	A	There are scattered, shallow ruts, pot holes, or other depressions on the levee that are unrelated to levee settlement. The levee crown, embankments, and access road crowns are well established and drain properly without any ponded water.	BMBA_2019_a_0010: Station_1 32+26: Depression from excavated trees. Landside levee slope steeper than 1V:3H: Remedial actions required to restore levee slope per plan.
		М	There are some infrequent minor depressions less than 6 inches deep in the levee crown, embankment, or access roads that will pond water.	Verify fill placement approved by the Corps. Restore levee cross-section per plan (U)	
		U	There are depressions greater than 6 inches deep that will pond water.		
9. Cracking	Α	А	Minor longitudinal, transverse, or desiccation cracks with no vertical movement along the crack. No cracks extend continuously through the levee crest.		
			М	Longitudinal and/or transverse cracks up to 6 inches in depth with no vertical movement along the crack. No cracks extend continuously through the levee crest. Longitudinal cracks are no longer than the height of the levee.	
		U	Cracks exceed 6 inches in depth. Longitudinal cracks are longer than the height of the levee and/or exhibit vertical movement along the crack. Transverse cracks extend through the entire levee width.		
10. Animal Control	Α	Α	Continuous animal burrow control program in place that includes the elimination of active burrowing and the filling in of existing burrows.		
		М	The existing animal burrow control program needs to be improved. Several burrows are present which may lead to seepage or slope stability problems, and they require immediate attention.		



For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations						
		U	Animal burrow control program is not effective or is nonexistent. Significant maintenance is required to fill existing burrows, and the levee will not provide reliable flood protection until this maintenance is complete.							
 Culverts/ Discharge Pipes³ (This item includes both concrete and corrugated metal pipes.) 	Α	A	Α	There are no breaks, holes, cracks in the discharge pipes/ culverts that would result in significant water leakage. The pipe shape is still essentially circular. All joints appear to be closed and the soil tight. Corrugated metal pipes, if present, are in good condition with 100% of the original coating still in place (either asphalt or galvanizing) or have been relined with appropriate material, which is still in good condition. Condition of pipes has been verified using television camera video taping or visual inspection methods within the past five years, and the report for every pipe is available for review by the inspector.						
		М	There are a small number of corrosion pinholes or cracks that could leak water and need to be repaired, but the entire length of pipe is still structurally sound and is not in danger of collapsing. Pipe shape may be ovalized in some locations but does not appear to be approaching a curvature reversal. A limited number of joints may have opened and soil loss may be beginning. Any open joints should be repaired prior to the next inspection. Corrugated metal pipes, if present, may be showing corrosion and pinholes but there are no areas with total section loss. Condition of pipes has been verified using television camera video taping or visual inspection methods within the past five years, and the report for every pipe is available for review by the inspector.							
		U	Culvert has deterioration and/or has significant leakage; it is in danger of collapsing or as already begun to collapse. Corrugated metal pipes have suffered 100% section loss in the invert. HOWEVER: Even if pipes appear to be in good condition, as judged by an external visual inspection, an Unacceptable Rating will be assigned if the condition of pipes has not been verified using television camera video taping or visual inspection methods within the past five years, and reports for all pipes are not available for review by the inspector.							
		N/A	There are no discharge pipes/ culverts.							
12. Riprap Revetments &	Μ	Α	No riprap displacement or stone degradation that could pose an immediate threat to the integrity of channel bank. Riprap intact with no woody vegetation present.	BMBA_2019_a_0021: Station_1 17+00: Displaced riprap with exposed bedding material: Replace riprap with hard,						
Bank Protection			n				on 	M Minor riprap displacement or stone degradation that con integrity of the channel bank. Unwanted vegetation mu appropriate herbicide. U Significant riprap displacement, exposure of bedding, o activity is undercutting banks, eroding embankments, or turbulence or shoaling. Rock protection is hidden by definition.	Minor riprap displacement or stone degradation that could pose an immediate threat to the integrity of the channel bank. Unwanted vegetation must be cleared or sprayed with an appropriate herbicide.	durable rock of suitable size (M) BMBA_2019_a_0044: Station_1 43+00: Station_2 46+50: Weeds and other woody vegetation within all riprapped
										U
		N/A	There is no riprap protecting this feature of the segment / system, or riprap is discussed in another section.	prior to removal (M)						
13. Revetments other	NA	A	Existing revetment protection is properly maintained, undamaged, and clearly visible.							

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Levee Embankments Page 4 of 19

For use during	Initial and Continui	19 Eligibility	Inspections o	of levee segments /	systems
i or use during	initial and Continuit	is manually	inspections o	i icvec segments /	systems

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations
than Riprap		М	Minor revetment displacement or deterioration that does not pose an immediate threat to the integrity of the levee. Unwanted vegetation must be cleared or sprayed with an appropriate herbicide.	
		U	Significant revetment displacement, deterioration, or exposure of bedding observed. Scour activity is undercutting banks, eroding embankments, or impairing channel flows by causing turbulence or shoaling. Revetment protection is hidden by dense brush and trees.	
		N/A	There are no such revetments protecting this feature of the segment / system.	
 Underseepage Relief Wells/ Toe Drainage Systems 	NA	A	Toe drainage systems and pressure relief wells necessary for maintaining FDR segment / system stability during high water functioned properly during the last flood event and no sediment is observed in horizontal system (if applicable). Nothing is observed which would indicate that the drainage systems won't function properly during the next flood, and maintenance records indicate regular cleaning. Wells have been pumped tested within the past 5 years and documentation is provided.	
		М	Toe drainage systems or pressure relief wells are damaged and may become clogged if they are not repaired. Maintenance records are incomplete or indicate irregular cleaning and pump testing.	
		U	Toe drainage systems or pressure relief wells necessary for maintaining FDR segment / system stability during flood events have fallen into disrepair or have become clogged. No maintenance records. No documentation of the required pump testing.	
		N/A	There are no relief wells/ toe drainage systems along this component of the FDR segment / system.	
15. Seepage	Α	Α	No evidence or history of unrepaired seepage, saturated areas, or boils.	
		М	Evidence or history of minor unrepaired seepage or small saturated areas at or beyond the landside toe but not on the landward slope of levee. No evidence of soil transport.	
		U	Evidence or history of active seepage, extensive saturated areas, or boils.	

¹ If there is significant growth on the levee that inhibits the inspection of animal burrows or other items, the inspection should be ended until this item is corrected.

² Detailed survey elevations are normally required during Periodic Inspections, and whenever there are obvious visual settlements.

³ The decision on whether or not USACE inspectors should enter a pipe to perform a detailed inspection must be made at the USACE District level. This decision should be made in conjunction with the District Safety Office, as pipes may be considered confined spaces. This decision should consider the age of the pipe, the diameter of the pipe, the apparent condition of the pipe, and the length of the pipe. If a pipe is entered for the purposes of inspection, the inspector should record observations with a video camera in order that the condition of the entire pipe, including all joints, can later be assessed. Additionally, the video record provides a baseline to which future inspections can be compared.

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 5 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 6 of 19

Levee Embankments For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems

Inspect ID: BMBA_2019_a_0046 Title: USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0046_1.jpg Rated Item: 1. Unwanted Vegetation Growth Caption: Rating: Unacceptable; Remarks: Trees greater than 2 inches in diameter, brush, long grass, and weeds on the levee slopes and within the vegetation free zone; Action: Remove unwanted vegetation from vegetation-free zone up to the levee easement. Remove root ball, backfill, compact in lifts, and reseed with grass. Ensure environmental compliance with all appropriate agencies prior to removal; Station_1: 32+75; Station_2: 36+00
Inspect ID: BMBA_2019_a_0051 Title: USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0051_1.jpg Rated Item: 1. Unwanted Vegetation Growth Caption: Rating: Unacceptable; Remarks: Trees (greater than 2 inches in diameter) at the landside toe of the levee embankment and appears to be within the vegetation free zone; Action: Remove unwanted vegetation from vegetation-free zone up to the levee easement. Remove root ball, backfill, compact in lifts, and reseed with grass. Ensure environmental compliance with all appropriate agencies prior to removal; Station_1: 18+00; Station_2: 18+50



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 7 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 8 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 9 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 10 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 11 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 12 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 13 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 14 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 15 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 16 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 17 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 18 of 19

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of levee segments / systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Levee Embankments Page 19 of 19

			_	-						
T		T I		~			T		• •	
	duning	nitio	and	('ontinu	ing L'i	aibility	Increation	a of intonion	duainaga guatama	
nor use	anrino	1111111111	янн	• ••••••		υππην	Inspection	s or interior	пгяняюе состень	
I UI UBU	uuime		ana	Continu		21011101	inspector		ai ainaze systems	

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations	
1. Vegetation and Obstructions	М	Α	No obstructions, vegetation, debris, or sediment accumulation noted within interior drainage channels or blocking the culverts, inlets, or discharge areas. Concrete joints and weep holes are free of grass and weeds.	BMBA_2019_a_0031: Station_1 6+50: Large bush in outlet structure and sedimentation: Remove vegetation and sedimentation from the drainage feature (M)	
		М	Obstructions, vegetation, debris, or sediment are minor and have not impaired channel flow capacity or blocked more than 10% of any culvert openings, but should be removed. A limited volume of grass and weeds may be present in concrete channel joints and weep holes.		
		U	Obstructions, vegetation, debris, or sediment have impaired the channel flow capacity or blocked more than 10% of a culvert opening. Sediment and debris removal required to re-establish flow capacity.		
2. Encroachments	Α	Α	No trash, debris, unauthorized structures, excavations, or other obstructions present within the easement area. Encroachments have been previously reviewed by the Corps, and it was determined that they do not diminish proper functioning of the interior drainage system.		
		М	Trash, debris, unauthorized structures, excavations, or other obstructions present, or inappropriate activities noted that should be corrected but will not inhibit operations and maintenance or emergency operations. Encroachments have not been reviewed by the Corps.		
		U	Unauthorized encroachments or inappropriate activities noted are likely to inhibit operations and maintenance, emergency operations, or negatively impact the integrity of this component of the interior drainage system.		
3. Ponding Areas	Α	A	No trash, debris, structures, or other obstructions present within the ponding areas. Sediment deposits do not exceed 10% of capacity.		
		М	Trash, debris, excavations, structures, or other obstructions present, or inappropriate activities that will not inhibit operations and maintenance. Sediment deposits do not exceed 30% of capacity.		
		U	Trash, debris, excavations, structures, or other obstructions, or other encroachments or activities noted that will inhibit operations, maintenance, or emergency work. Sediment deposits exceeds 30% of capacity.		
		N/A	There are no ponding areas associated with the interior drainage system.		
 Fencing and Gates¹ 	М	Α	Fencing is in good condition and provides protection against falling or unauthorized access. Gates open and close freely, locks are in place, and there is little corrosion on metal parts.	BMBA_2019_a_0030: Station_1 06+50: There are three handrail post bases that have loose or missing grout at the	
		М	Fencing or gates are damaged or corroded but appear to be maintainable. Locks may be missing or damaged.	re-grout the post with non-shrink grout. If railing is removed prior to a flood event, install a metal sleeve for the post to	
		U Fencing and gates are damaged or corroded to the potentially dangerous features are not secured.	Fencing and gates are damaged or corroded to the point that replacement is required, or potentially dangerous features are not secured.	slide into (M)	
		N/A	There are no features noted that require safety fencing.		
5. Concrete Surfaces (Such as gate	Α	A	Negligible spalling, scaling or cracking. If the concrete surface is weathered or holds moisture, it is still satisfactory but should be seal coated to prevent freeze/ thaw damage.		

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Interior Drainage System Page 1 of 7

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of interior drainage systems

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations	
wells, outfalls, intakes, or culverts)		М	Spalling, scaling, and open cracking present, but the immediate integrity or performance of the structure is not threatened. Reinforcing steel may be exposed. Repairs/ sealing is necessary to prevent additional damage during periods of thawing and freezing.		
		U	Surface deterioration or deep cracks present that may result in an unreliable structure. Any surface deterioration that exposes the sheet piling or lies adjacent to monolith joints may indicate underlying reinforcement corrosion and is unacceptable.		
		N/A	There are no concrete items in the interior drainage system.		
6. Tilting, Sliding or Settlement of	Α	А	There are no significant areas of tilting, sliding, or settlement that would endanger the integrity of the structure.		
Concrete and Sheet Pile Structures ² (Such as gate		М	There are areas of tilting, sliding, or settlement (either active or inactive) that need to be repaired. The maximum offset, either laterally or vertically, does not exceed 2 inches unless the movement can be shown to be no longer actively occurring. The integrity of the structure is not in danger.		
wells, outfalls, intakes, or culverts)		trails, or	U	There are areas of tilting, sliding, or settlement (either active or inactive) that threaten the structure's integrity and performance. Any movement that has resulted in failure of the waterstop (possibly identified by daylight visible through the joint) is unacceptable. Differential movement of greater than 2 inches between any two adjacent monoliths, either laterally or vertically, is unacceptable unless it can be shown that the movement is no longer active. Also, if the floodwall is of I-wall construction, then any visible or measurable tilting of the wall toward the protected side that has created an open horizontal crack on the riverside base of a monolith is unacceptable.	
		N/A	There are no concrete items in the interior drainage system.		
7. Foundation of	Α	Α	No active erosion, scouring, or bank caving that might endanger the structure's stability.		
Concrete Structures ³ (Such as culverts, inlet and discharge structures, or gatewells.)		М	There are areas where the ground is eroding towards the base of the structure. Efforts need to be taken to slow and repair this erosion, but it is not judged to be close enough to the structure or to be progressing rapidly enough to affect structural stability before the next inspection. The rate of erosion is such that the structure is expected to remain stabile until the next inspection.		
		U	Erosion or bank caving observed that may lead to structural instabilities before the next inspection.		
		N/A	There are no concrete items in the interior drainage system.		
8. Monolith Joints	NA	Α	The joint material is in good condition. The exterior joint sealant is intact and cracking/ desiccation is minimal. Joint filler material and/or waterstop is not visible at any point.		
		М	The joint material has appreciable deterioration to the point where joint filler material and/or waterstop is visible in some locations. This needs to be repaired or replaced to prevent spalling and cracking during freeze/ thaw cycles, and to ensure water tightness of the joint.		

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Interior Drainage System Page 2 of 7

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of interior drainage systems

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations
		U	The joint material is severely deteriorated or the concrete adjacent to the monolith joints has spalled and cracked, damaging the waterstop; in either case damage has occurred to the point where it is apparent that the joint is no longer watertight and will not provide the intended level of protection during a flood.	
		N/A	There are no monolith joints in the interior drainage system.	
9. Culverts/ Discharge Pipes ⁴	Μ	Α	There are no breaks, holes, cracks in the discharge pipes/ culverts that would result in significant water leakage. The pipe shape is still essentially circular. All joints appear to be closed and the soil tight. Corrugated metal pipes, if present, are in good condition with 100% of the original coating still in place (either asphalt or galvanizing) or have been relined with appropriate material, which is still in good condition. Condition of pipes has been verified using television camera video taping or visual inspection methods within the past five years, and the report for every pipe is available for review by the inspector.	BMBA_2019_a_0050: Station_1 NA: Station_2 NA: Although Houston Engineering has performed some inspections of interior drainage culverts in 2017, these interior drainage culverts do not appear on Houston Engineering's printout: Perform video or visual inspections of the project culverts every five years and provide USACE with documentation indicating inspection results. Ensure all
		М	There are a small number of corrosion pinholes or cracks that could leak water and need to be repaired, but the entire length of pipe is still structurally sound and is not in danger of collapsing. Pipe shape may be ovalized in some locations but does not appear to be approaching a curvature reversal. A limited number of joints may have opened and soil loss may be beginning. Any open joints should be repaired prior to the next inspection. Corrugated metal pipes, if present, may be showing corrosion and pinholes but there are no areas with total section loss. Condition of pipes has been verified using television camera video taping or visual inspection methods within the past five years, and the report for every pipe is available for review by the inspector.	culverts within project are inspected (M)
		U	Culvert has deterioration and/or has significant leakage; it is in danger of collapsing or as already begun to collapse. Corrugated metal pipes have suffered 100% section loss in the invert. HOWEVER: Even if pipes appear to be in good condition, as judged by an external visual inspection, an Unacceptable Rating will be assigned if the condition of pipes has not been verified using television camera video taping or visual inspection methods within the past five years, and reports for all pipes are not available for review by the inspector.	
		N/A	There are no discharge pipes/ culverts.	
 Sluice / Slide Gates⁵ 	NA	Α	Gates open and close freely to a tight seal or minor leakage. Gate operators are in good working condition and are properly maintained. Sill is free of sediment and other obstructions. Gates and lifters have been maintained and are free of corrosion. Documentation provided during the inspection.	
		М	Gates and/or operators have been damaged or have minor corrosion, and open and close with resistance or binding. Leakage quantity is controllable, but maintenance is required. Sill is free of sediment and other obstructions.	
		U	Gates do not open or close and/or operators do not function. Gate, stem, lifter and/or guides may be damaged or have major corrosion.	
		N/A	There are no sluice/ slide gates.	



Rated Item Ratin			Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations	
11. Flap Gates/ Flap Valves/	NA	Α	Gates/ valves open and close easily with minimal leakage, have no corrosion damage, and have been exercised and lubricated as required.		
Pinch Valves ¹		М	Gates/ valves will not fully open or close because of obstructions that can be easily removed, or have minor corrosion damage that requires maintenance.		
		U	Gates/ valves are missing, have been damaged, or have deteriorated to the point that they need to be replaced.		
		N/A	There are no flap gates.		
12. Trash Racks (non-mechanical)	Α	А	Trash racks are fastened in place and properly maintained.		
		М	Trash racks are in place but are unfastened or have bent bars that allow debris to enter into the pipe or pump station, bars are corroded to the point that up to 10% of the sectional area may be lost. Repair or replacement is required.		
		U	Trash racks are missing or damaged to the extent that they are no longer functional and must be replaced. (For example, more than 10% of the sectional area may be lost.)		
		N/A	There are no trash racks, or they are covered in the pump stations section of the report.		
13. Other Metallic Items	Μ	Α	All metal parts are protected from corrosion damage and show no rust, damage, or deterioration that would cause a safety concern.	BMBA_2019_a_0029: Station_1 7+50: There is a loose bolt that connects the trash guard to the drop structure at the	
		М	Corrosion seen on metallic parts appears to be maintainable.	outlet of the culvert from the pump station. Bolt not nictured: Tighten loose bolt to secure trash guard (M)	
		U	Metallic parts are severely corroded and require replacement to prevent failure, equipment damage, or safety issues.		
		N/A	There are no other significant metallic items.		
14. Riprap Revetments of Inlet/ Discharge	Α	A	No riprap displacement or stone degradation that could pose an immediate threat to the integrity of channel bank. Riprap intact with no woody vegetation present.		
Areas		М	Minor riprap displacement or stone degradation that could pose an immediate threat to the integrity of the channel bank. Unwanted vegetation must be cleared or sprayed with an appropriate herbicide.		
		U	U	Significant riprap displacement, exposure of bedding, or stone degradation observed. Scour activity is undercutting banks, eroding embankments, or impairing channel flows by causing turbulence or shoaling. Rock protection is hidden by dense brush, trees, or grasses.	
		N/A	There is no riprap protecting this feature of the segment / system, or riprap is discussed in another section.		
15. Revetments other than Riprap	NA	Α	No riprap displacement or stone degradation that could pose an immediate threat to the integrity of channel bank. Riprap intact with no woody vegetation present.		

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of interior drainage systems

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Interior Drainage System Page 4 of 7

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of interior drainage systems

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations
		М	Minor riprap displacement or stone degradation that could pose an immediate threat to the integrity of the channel bank. Unwanted vegetation must be cleared or sprayed with an appropriate herbicide.	
		U	Significant riprap displacement, exposure of bedding, or stone degradation observed. Scour activity is undercutting banks, eroding embankments, or impairing channel flows by causing turbulence or shoaling. Rock protection is hidden by dense brush, trees, or grasses.	
		N/A	There are no such revetments protecting this feature of the segment / system.	

¹ Proper operation of this item must be demonstrated during the inspection.

² The sponsor should be monitoring any observed movement to verify whether the movement is active or inactive.

³ Inspectors must have as-built drawings available during the inspection so that the lateral distance to the heel and toe of the floodwalls can be determined in the field.

⁴ The decision on whether or not USACE inspectors should enter a pipe to perform a detailed inspection must be made at the USACE District level. This decision should be made in conjunction with the District Safety Office, as pipes may be considered confined spaces. This decision should consider the age of the pipe, the diameter of the pipe, the apparent condition of the pipe, and the length of the pipe. If a pipe is entered for the purposes of inspection, the inspector should record observations with a video camera in order that the condition of the entire pipe, including all joints, can later be assessed. Additionally, the video record provides a baseline to which future inspections can be compared. ⁵ Proper operation of the gates (full open and closed) must be demonstrated during the inspection if no documentation is available. Be aware of both manual and electrical operators.

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Interior Drainage System Page 5 of 7

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of interior drainage systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Interior Drainage System Page 6 of 7

Interior Drainage System For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of interior drainage systems





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Interior Drainage System Page 7 of 7

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of pump stations

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations								
 Pump Stations Operating, Maintenance, 	М	A	Operation, maintenance and inspection records are present at the pump station and are being used and updated, and personnel have been trained in pump station operations. Names and last training date shown in the record book.	BMBA_2019_a_0033: Station_1 06+35: Pump station staff attends annual safety training provided by www.nd.gov and www.workforcesafety.com. Records of safety training								
Training, & Inspection		М	Operation, maintenance and inspection records are present but not adequately used and updated.	attendance and pump station safety conditions were not available for review: Maintain records of safety training								
Records		U	No operation, maintenance and inspection records are present, or refresher training for personnel has not been conducted.	Brooks Addition visit recording documents (M) BMBA_2019_a_0034: Station_1 06+35: Pump station O&M and inspection records are maintained in a log book by staff performing the inspection with the records being maintained Ackerman-Estvold office. Training is performed annually prior to flooding conditions: Maintain copies of the O&M and inspection records at the pump station. Training records should be shown in the pump station's record book (M)								
2. Pump Station Operations and Maintenance Equipment	Μ	Μ	A	Operation and Maintenance Equipment Manuals and/or posted operating instructions are present and updated as required, and adequately cover all pertinent pump station features. O&M manuals include points of contact for manufacturers and suppliers of major equipment used in the facility.	3MBA_2019_a_0035: Station_1 7+50: Pump station O&M nanuals and operating instructions are available at the Ackerman-Estvold office. Operating instructions are not posted at the pump station: Laminate the pump station							
Manuals											15	M Operation and Maintenance Equipmen present and adequately cover all pertinincomplete and the necessary updates
		U	Operation and Maintenance Equipment Manuals are not available.									
3. Safety Compliance	А	Α	Safety compliance inspection reports by applicable local, state, or federal agencies available for review.									
		Μ	No safety compliance inspection reports are available for review.									
4. Communications (A or M only)	Α	Α	A telephone, cellular phone, two-way radio, or similar device is available to pump station operator and maintenance personnel.									
		М	A telephone, cellular phone, two-way radio, or similar device is not available to pump station operator and maintenance personnel.									
5. Plant Building	Α	Α	The building is in good structural condition with no major foundation settlement problems. The roof is not leaking, intake & exhaust louvers are clear of debris, fans are operational, etc.									
			М	There are minor structural defects, minimal foundation settlement, leaks, or other conditions noted that need repair. Defects do not threaten the structural integrity or stability of the building, and will not impact pumping operations.								
		U	The structural integrity or stability of the building is threatened, or there is damage to the building that threatens safety of the operator or impacts pumping operations.									



For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of pump stations

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations
 Fencing and Gates¹ 	Α	A	Fencing is in good condition and provides protection against falling or unauthorized access. Gates open and close freely, locks are in place, and there is little corrosion on metal parts.	
		М	Fencing or gates are damaged or corroded but appear to be maintainable. Locks may be missing or damaged.	
		U	Fencing and gates are damaged or corroded to the point that replacement is required, or potentially dangerous features are not secured.	
		N/A	There are no features noted that require safety fencing.	
7. Pumps ¹	Α	A	All pumps are properly maintained and lubricated. Systems are periodically tested and documented for review. No vibration, cavitation noises or unusual sounds are noted when the pump is operated. Bearing temperature sensor records don't indicate any problems.	
		М	Minor deficiencies noted that need to be closely monitored or repaired, such as the presence of slight vibrations, leakage of packing gland, bearing temperature sensors are inoperable or no record is present. However, the pumps are operational and are expected to perform through the next period of usage.	
		U	Major deficiencies identified that may significantly reduce pumping operations. For example, bearing sensor records indicate problems, excessive vibration noted, impellers are badly corroded, or there are eroded or missing blades.	
8. Motors, Engines, Fans, Gear Reducers, Back	Α	A	All items are operational. Preventative maintenance and lubrication is being performed and the system is periodically subjected to performance testing. Instrumentation, alarms, bearing sensors and auto shutdowns are operational.	
Stop Devices, etc.		М	Systems have minor deficiencies, but are operational and will function adequately through the next flood. Bearing sensors are not operational.	
		U	One or more of the primary motors or systems is not operational, or noted deficiencies have not been corrected.	
9. Sumps / Wet well	Α	A	Clear of debris, sediment, or other obstructions. Procedures are in place to remove debris accumulation during operation.	
		М	Debris, sediment, or other obstructions may be present and must be removed, but the sump/ wet well will function as intended during the next flood. Procedures are in place to remove debris accumulation during operation.	
		U	Large debris or excessive silt present which will hinder or damage pumps during operation, or no procedures established to remove debris accumulation during operation.	
10. Mechanical Operating Trash	NA	A	Drive chain, bearing, gear reducers, and other components are in good operating condition and are being properly maintained.	
Rakes ¹		М	The trash rake is in need of maintenance, but is still operational.	
		U	Trash rake not operational or deficiencies will inhibit operations during the next flood event.	



For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of pump stations

Rated Item	Rating	Rating Guidelines		Location/Remarks/Recommendations
		N/A	There are no mechanical trash rakes.	
 Non-Mechanical Trash Racks 	Α	Α	Trash racks are fastened in place and properly maintained.	
		М	Trash racks are in place but are unfastened or have bent bars that allow debris to enter into the pipe or pump station, bars are corroded to the point that up to 10% of the sectional area may be lost. Repair or replacement is required.	
		U	Trash racks are missing or damaged to the extent that they are no longer functional and must be replaced. (For example, more than 10% of the sectional area may be lost.)	
		N/A	There are no trash racks, or they are covered in the pump stations section of the report.	
12. Fuel System for Pump Engines	NA	Α	Fuel system is operational, day tank present and operational, fuel fresh and rotated regularly.	
i unip Engines		М	Fuel system is operational and of adequate capacity, but day tank is missing or fuel is not fresh and rotated regularly.	
		U	Fuel system not functional.	
		N/A	No fuel system.	
13. Power Source	Α	A	The normal power source and backup generators, if installed, are operational, properly exercised and well maintained. Surge protection, grounding, lightning protection, transformers, and automatic/manual transfer of main power to backup system is working.	
		М	Normal power source and backup units, if applicable, are operational with minor discrepancies or maintenance, inspection and exercising record is present but not up to date. Preventative maintenance or repairs are required.	
		U	Normal power source or generators are not operational and must be repaired; or generator, if required, is not on site.	
14. Electrical Systems ²	Α	Α	Operational and maintained free of damage, corrosion, and debris. Preventative maintenance and system testing is being performed periodically.	
		М	Operational with minor discrepancies. Preventative maintenance or repairs are required, but the components are expected to function adequately during the next flood event.	
		U	Components of the electrical system will not function adequately during the next flood event and must be replaced.	
15. Megger Testing on Pump Motors	Α	A	Results of megger tests on pump motors or critical power cables show that the insulation meets manufacturer's or industry standards. Tested within the last year.	



For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of pump stations

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations
and Critical Power Cables		М	Megger testing not conducted within the past year. If megger tests on pump motors indicate that insulation resistance is below the manufacturer's or industry standard, but the resistance can be corrected with proper application of heat, this is minimally acceptable. (The application of heat does not relate to critical power cables.)	
		U	Megger tests not conducted within past two years, or tests indicate that insulation resistance is low enough that the equipment will not be able to meet design standards of operation; or evidence of arcing or shorting is detected visually.	
 Enclosures, Panels, Conduit and Ducts 		A	All enclosures, panels, conduits, and ducts are protected from corrosion damage and show no rust, damage, or deterioration that would cause a safety concern.	
	Α	М	Minor surface corrosion which appears to be maintainable. Cleaning and painting required.	
		U	Severely corroded and must be replaced to prevent failure, equipment damage, or safety issues.	
17. Intake and Discharge Pipelines		A	Intake and discharge pipelines have no corrosion and paint is intact, except for minor touch up required. Pipe couplings and anchors have no leakage or corrosion.	
	Α	М	Intake and discharge pipelines have minor corrosion and repair and painting is required. Pipe coupling with anchors have minor leakage, corrosion and require bolts to be tightened.	
		U	Intake and discharge pipelines have major corrosion and replacement is required. Pipe coupling with anchors have major leakage and is heavily corroded and requires replacement.	
 Sluice/ Slide Gates³ 		A	Gates open and close freely to a tight seal or minor leakage. Gate operators are in good working condition and are properly maintained. Sill is free of sediment and other obstructions. Gates and lifters have been maintained and are free of corrosion. Documentation provided during the inspection.	
	Α	М	Gates and/or operators have been damaged or have minor corrosion, and open and close with resistance or binding. Leakage quantity is controllable, but maintenance is required. Sill is free of sediment and other obstructions.	
		U	Gates do not open or close and/or operators do not function. Gate, stem, lifter and/or guides may be damaged or have major corrosion.	
		N/A	There are no sluice/ slide gates.	
19. Flap Gates/ Flap Valves/ Pinch Valves ¹	NA	A	Gates/ valves open and close easily with minimal leakage, have no corrosion damage, and have been exercised and lubricated as required.	

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Pump Stations Page 4 of 5

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of pump stations

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations
		М	Gates/ valves will not fully open or close because of obstructions that can be easily removed, or have minor corrosion damage that requires maintenance.	
		U	Gates/ valves are missing, have been damaged, or have deteriorated to the point that they need to be replaced.	
		N/A	There are no gates on discharge lines from pump station.	
20. Cranes ¹		A	Cranes operational and have been inspected and load tested in accordance with applicable standards within the last year. Documentation is on hand.	
	NA	М	Cranes have not been inspected or operationally tested within the past year, or there are visible signs of corrosion, oil leakage, etc, requiring maintenance.	
		U	Cranes are not operational, and this may prevent the pump station from functioning as required. No documentation available on cranes.	
		N/A	There are no cranes.	
21. Other Metallic Items (Equipment, Ladders, Platform Anchors, etc)		Α	All metal parts are protected from corrosion damage and show no rust, damage, or deterioration that would cause a safety concern.	
	Α	М	Corrosion seen on metallic parts appears to be maintainable.	
	1	U	Metallic parts are severely corroded and require replacement to prevent failure, equipment damage, or safety issues.	
		N/A	There are no other significant metallic items.	

¹ Proper operation of this item must be demonstrated during the inspection.

² Check motor control center, circuit breakers, pilot lights, volt meters, ammeters, sump level indicator, gate position indicators, remote operating systems, including SCADA and telemetry systems. Also, check interior and exterior lighting; especially lighting near trash rack screens, ladders, walkways, etc.

³ Proper operation of the gates (full open and closed) must be demonstrated during the inspection if no documentation is available. Be aware of both manual and electrical operators.

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Pump Stations Page 5 of 5

		~		-			
l'an nga duning	mitial and (Continuing	l'inihilit.	manaationa	of flood dome	an unduration (a h a m m a l a
FAF USP AUFINO			ELIQINIII V	I II STOPPET I ATTIS		ioe realienan a	- II S II II PIS
ror use uurmg.	initiai anu s	Continuing	LILLIDINU	mapections	oi noou uami	ize i cuuchon v	Linamitus
			<u> </u>			a	

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations
1. Vegetation and Obstructions	Α	Α	No obstructions, vegetation, debris, or sediment accumulation within the channel. Concrete channel joints and weep holes are free of grass and weeds.	
		М	Obstructions (including log jams), vegetation, debris, or sediment are minor and have not impaired channel flow capacity, but should be removed. Sediment shoals have not developed to the extent that they can support vegetation other than non-aquatic grasses. A limited volume of grass and weeds may be present in concrete channel joints and weep holes.	
		U	Obstructions (including log jams), vegetation, debris or sediment have impaired the channel flow capacity. Sediment shoals are well established and support woody and/or brushy vegetation. Sediment and debris removal required to re-establish flow capacity.	
2. Shoaling ¹	Α	Α	No shoaling or minor, non-vegetated shoaling is present.	
(sediment deposition)		М	More widespread vegetated and non-vegetated shoaling is present. Non-aquatic grasses are present on shoal. No trees or brush is present on shoal, and channel flow is not significantly reduced. Sediment and debris removal recommended.	
		U	Shoaling is well established, stabilized by saplings, brush, or other vegetation. Shoals are diverting flow to channel walls. Channel flow capacity is reduced and maintenance is required.	
3. Encroachments	Α	Α	No trash, debris, unauthorized structures, excavations, or other obstructions present within the easement area. Encroachments have been previously reviewed by the Corps, and it was determined that they do not diminish proper functioning of the channel.	
		М	Trash, debris, unauthorized structures, excavations, or other obstructions present, or inappropriate activities noted that should be corrected but will not inhibit operations and maintenance or emergency operations. Encroachments have not been reviewed by the Corps.	
		U	Unauthorized encroachments or inappropriate activities noted are likely to inhibit operations and maintenance, emergency operations, or negatively impact the integrity of the channel.	
4. Erosion	Μ	Α	No head cutting or horizontal deviation observed.	BMBA_2019_a_0045: Station_1 38+50: Station_2 41+50:
		М	Head cutting and horizontal deviation evident, but is less than 1 foot from the designed grade or cross section.	ensure the erosion does not extend into project riprapped areas: No action necessary, continue to monitor taking
		U	Head cutting and horizontal deviation of more than 1 foot from the designed grade or cross section. Corrective actions required to stop or slow erosion.	corrective action if erosion extends into riprapped areas (M) BMBA_2019_a_0047: Station_1 31+50: Station_2 32+75: Erosion outside of project limits and should be monitored to ensure the erosion does not extend into project riprapped areas: No action necessary, continue to monitor taking corrective action if erosion extends into riprapped areas (M) BMBA_2019_a_0052: Station_1 9+50: Station_2 11+50: Erosion outside of project limits and should be monitored to ensure the erosion does not extend into project riprapped areas: No action necessary, continue to monitor taking

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Flood Damage Reduction Channels Page 1 of 6

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of flood damage reduction channels

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations
				corrective action if erosion extends into riprapped areas (M) BMBA_2019_a_0053: Station_1 2+00: Station_2 4+00: Erosion outside of project limits should be monitored to ensure the erosion does not extend into project riprapped areas: No action necessary, continue to monitor taking corrective action if erosion extends into riprapped areas (M)
5. Concrete Surfaces	NA	Α	Negligible spalling, scaling or cracking. If the concrete surface is weathered or holds moisture, it is still satisfactory but should be seal coated to prevent freeze/ thaw damage.	
		М	Spalling, scaling, and open cracking present, but the immediate integrity or performance of the structure is not threatened. Reinforcing steel may be exposed. Repairs/ sealing is necessary to prevent additional damage during periods of thawing and freezing.	
		U	Surface deterioration or deep cracks present that may result in an unreliable structure. Any surface deterioration that exposes the sheet piling or lies adjacent to monolith joints may indicate underlying reinforcement corrosion and is unacceptable.	
		N/A	There are no concrete items in the channel.	
6. Tilting, Sliding or Settlement of	NA	A	There are no significant areas of tilting, sliding, or settlement that would endanger the integrity of the structure.	
Concrete Structures ²		М	There are areas of tilting, sliding, or settlement (either active or inactive) that need to be repaired. The maximum offset, either laterally or vertically, does not exceed 2 inches unless the movement can be shown to be no longer actively occurring. The integrity of the structure is not in danger.	
		U	There are areas of tilting, sliding, or settlement (either active or inactive) that threaten the structure's integrity and performance. Any movement that has resulted in failure of the waterstop (possibly identified by daylight visible through the joint) is unacceptable. Differential movement of greater than 2 inches between any two adjacent monoliths, either laterally or vertically, is unacceptable unless it can be shown that the movement is no longer active. Also, if the floodwall is of I-wall construction, then any visible or measurable tilting of the wall toward the protected side that has created an open horizontal crack on the riverside base of a monolith is unacceptable.	
		N/A	There are no concrete items in the channel.	
7. Foundation of	NA	Α	No active erosion, scouring, or bank caving that might endanger the structure's stability.	

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Flood Damage Reduction Channels Page 2 of 6

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of flood damage reduction channels

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations
Concrete Structures ³		М	There are areas where the ground is eroding towards the base of the structure. Efforts need to be taken to slow and repair this erosion, but it is not judged to be close enough to the structure or to be progressing rapidly enough to affect structural stability before the next inspection. For the purposes of inspection, the erosion or scour is not closer to the riverside face of the wall than twice the floodwall's underground base width if the wall is of L-wall or T-wall construction; or if the wall is of sheetpile or I-wall construction, the erosion is not closer than twice the wall's visible height. Additionally, rate of erosion is such that the wall is expected to remain stabile until the next inspection.	
		U	Erosion or bank caving observed that is closer to the wall than the limits described above, or is outside these limits but may lead to structural instabilities before the next inspection. Additionally, if the floodwall is of I-wall or sheetpile construction, the foundation is unacceptable if any turf, soil or pavement material got washed away from the landside of the I-wall as the result of a previous overtopping event.	
		N/A	There are no concrete items in the channel.	
 Slab and Monolith Joints 	NA	А	The joint material is in good condition. The exterior joint sealant is intact and cracking/ desiccation is minimal. Joint filler material and/or waterstop is not visible at any point.	
		М	The joint material has appreciable deterioration to the point where joint filler material and/or waterstop is visible in some locations. This needs to be repaired or replaced to prevent spalling and cracking during freeze/ thaw cycles, and to ensure water tightness of the joint.	
		U	The joint material is severely deteriorated or the concrete adjacent to the monolith joints has spalled and cracked, damaging the waterstop; in either case damage has occurred to the point where it is apparent that the joint is no longer watertight and will not provide the intended level of protection during a flood.	
		N/A	There are no concrete items in the channel.	
9. Flap Gates/ Flap Valves/	NA	Α	Gates/ valves open and close easily with minimal leakage, have no corrosion damage, and have been exercised and lubricated as required.	
Pinch Valves ⁴		М	Gates/ valves will not fully open or close because of obstructions that can be easily removed, or have minor corrosion damage that requires maintenance.	
		U	Gates/ valves are missing, have been damaged, or have deteriorated to the point that they need to be replaced.	
		N/A	There are no flap gates.	
10. Riprap Revetments &	Α	Α	No riprap displacement or stone degradation that could pose an immediate threat to the integrity of channel bank. Riprap intact with no woody vegetation present.	
Banks		М	Minor riprap displacement or stone degradation that could pose an immediate threat to the integrity of the channel bank. Unwanted vegetation must be cleared or sprayed with an appropriate herbicide.	

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Flood Damage Reduction Channels Page 3 of 6

Rated Item	Rating		Rating Guidelines	Location/Remarks/Recommendations
		U	Significant riprap displacement, exposure of bedding, or stone degradation observed. Scour activity is undercutting banks, eroding embankments, or impairing channel flows by causing turbulence or shoaling. Rock protection is hidden by dense brush, trees, or grasses.	
		N/A	There is no riprap protecting this feature of the segment / system, or riprap is discussed in another section.	
11. Revetments other	NA	Α	Existing revetment protection is properly maintained, undamaged, and clearly visible.	
than Riprap		М	Minor revetment displacement or deterioration that does not pose an immediate threat to the integrity of the levee. Unwanted vegetation must be cleared or sprayed with an appropriate herbicide.	
		U	Significant revetment displacement, deterioration, or exposure of bedding observed. Scour activity is undercutting banks, eroding embankments, or impairing channel flows by causing turbulence or shoaling. Revetment protection is hidden by dense brush and trees.	
		N/A	There are no such revetments protecting this feature of the segment / system.	

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of flood damage reduction channels

¹ If weather and flow conditions allow, inspectors should walk in the channel and probe shoal areas in order to estimate extent of blockage of the cross-sectional area where shoaling is present.

² The sponsor should be monitoring any observed movement to verify whether the movement is active or inactive.

³ Inspectors must have as-built drawings available during the inspection so that the lateral distance to the heel and toe of the floodwalls can be determined in the field.

⁴ Proper operation of this item must be demonstrated during the inspection.

Key: A = Acceptable. M = Minimally Acceptable; Maintenance is required. U = Unacceptable. N/A = Not Applicable. FDR = Flood Damage Reduction



Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Flood Damage Reduction Channels Page 4 of 6

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of flood damage reduction channels





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Flood Damage Reduction Channels Page 5 of 6

For use during Initial and Continuing Eligibility Inspections of flood damage reduction channels





Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Inspection Report Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks Flood Damage Reduction Channels Page 6 of 6

Flood Damage Reduction Segment / System Supplemental Data Sheet

This form is intended for the Corps' internal use and may not need to be updated with every inspection.

Name of Segment / System: Souris River-Burlington to Minot - Brooks									
Sponsor: Souris River Joint Water Resource Board									
Location: Near Minot, ND									
River Basin: Souris River	River Basin: Souris River								
Project Description: Project upgrading of emergency levees, channel improvements, and a pump station									
Authority that Project was Constructed Under: 1986 Water Resource Development Act, Public Law 99-662 &	Section 105 of 1988 Continuing Appropriations Act, Public Law 100-202								
Date of Construction: 5/22/1990									
Approximate Annual Maintenance Costs:									
Construction: Federally Constructed Non-Federally Constructed									
Maintenance: Federally Maintained Non-Federally Maintained									
National Flood Insurance Program:									
a. Is the project currently NFIP? Xes No									
b. If in the NFIP, Date of Certification (per 44 CFR 65.10):									
Datum Information:									
a. Datum used for the design and construction of this project is:									
b. Current recommended datum for this project is:									
c. Has the Project been converted to the current recommended datum?									
Levee Embankment Data:	Protected Features (For use in preparing estimates and PIRs):								
a. Levee Designed Gage Function Reading/Station:	a. Total acres protected:								
b. Level of Protection Provided:	b. Total agriculture production acres protected:								
c. Average Height of Levee:	c. Towns:								
d. Average Crown Width:	d. Businesses:								
e. Average Side Slope:	e. Residences:								
	f. Roads:								
	g. Utilities:								
	h. Barns:								
	i. Machine Sheds:								
	j. Outbuildings:								
	k. Irrigation Systems:								
	1. Grain Bins:								
	m. Other Facilities:								



USACE CEMVP BMBA 2019 a 0047

STG 2B 32+72

USACE CEMVP EMBA 2019 a 0045

STG 2B 37+32

USAGE_GEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0009 -USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0046

USACE_GEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0010 USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0048-

USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0011

USAGE CEMVP BMBA 2019 a 0002

USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0044

STG 2B 41+92

USACE CEMVP EMBA 2019 a 0049

BROOKS ADDITION, ND (BMBA)

PATH: L:\ICW_ND_B6-137745\BMBA\BMBA_Inspections\BMBA_Routine_Inspections\2019\2019_RI_BMBA_Map.mxd



STG 2B 46+52

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY





US Army Corps of Engineers®

MAP LEGEND

Rating

- Acceptable
- Minimally Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Rating

- Acceptable Minimally Acceptable Unacceptable
- Ú pump_station_point
- levee_centerline



ROUTINE INSPECTION



Base Image: ESRI World Imagery and NatGeo World Map (Inset)

STG 2B 28+12

USER NAME: b6eclls9

LAST SAVED DATE: 1/7/20

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Map 2 of 3

BROOKS ADDITION, ND (BMBA)

USACE CEMVP BMBA 2019 a 0050 USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_2_0051 USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0019

STG 2B 25+36

> STG 2B 20+76

USAGE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0048 USACE CEMVP EMBA 2019 a 0049 USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0011

USACE CEMVP BMBA 2019 a 0021 USAGE_GEMIVP_BMBA_2019_2_0024 USAGE CEMVP EMBA 2019 a 0023 USAGE_GEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0052

STG 2B 13+40

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

MAP LEGEND

Minimally Acceptable

Minimally Acceptable

pump_station_point

Acceptable

Unacceptable

Acceptable

Unacceptable

levee_centerline

Rating

Rating

Ú

1101

St. Paul District

LEVEE SAFETY PROGRAM





FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Map 3 of 3

BROOKS ADDITION, ND (BMBA)

STG 2B 08+80

STG 2B 04+75

USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0033 USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0034 USAGE_GEMIVP_BMBA_2019_a_0035

USAGE_GEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0028 USACE_CEMVP_EMBA_2019_a_0029 - USAGE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0080 - USAGE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0081 STG 2B 00+60

USAGE_GEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0055

USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0001

USACE_CEMVP_BMBA_2019_a_0040 USACE CEMVP BMBA 2019 a 0039 USACE CEMVP EMBA 2019 a 0054 USACE CEMVP BMBA 2019 a 0053



FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY





US Army Corps of Engineers®

MAP LEGEND

Rating

- Acceptable
- Minimally Acceptable
- Unacceptable

Rating

Acceptable Minimally Acceptable Unacceptable Ú pump_station_point levee_centerline



ROUTINE INSPECTION 300 75 150 Feet

Base Image: ESRI World Imagery and NatGeo World Map (Inset)

USER NAME: b6eclls

LAST SAVED DATE: 1/7/20